

Additional Material

Lemma 2. (Gluing Lemma, [4, 31]) Let $\pi^{(1)}$ and $\pi^{(2)}$ be two discrete probability measures in $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ such that

$$\sum_{(b_1, \dots, b_d)} \pi^{(1)}(a_1, \dots, a_d; b_1, \dots, b_d) = \sum_{(b_1, \dots, b_d)} \pi^{(2)}(b_1, \dots, b_d; c_1, \dots, c_d)$$

Then there exists a discrete probability measure π on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ such that

$$\sum_{(c_1, \dots, c_d)} \pi(a_1, \dots, a_d; b_1, \dots, b_d; c_1, \dots, c_d) = \pi^{(1)}(a_1, \dots, a_d; b_1, \dots, b_d)$$

and

$$\sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_d)} \pi(a_1, \dots, a_d; b_1, \dots, b_d; c_1, \dots, c_d) = \pi^{(2)}(b_1, \dots, b_d; c_1, \dots, c_d).$$

Let us take μ, ν two probability measures and a ground distance of the form

$$c((a_1, \dots, a_d), (b_1, \dots, b_d)) = \sum_{i=1}^d \Delta_i(a_i, b_i). \quad (15)$$

We can then define

$$R(F_1, \dots, F_d) = \sum_{i=1}^d \left[\sum_{b_1, \dots, b_{i-1}, a_i, \dots, a_d, b_i} \Delta_i(a_i, b_i) f_{b_1, \dots, b_{i-1}, a_i, \dots, a_d, b_i}^{(i)} \right], \quad (16)$$

where

$$F_i = \{f_{b_1, \dots, b_{i-1}, a_i, \dots, a_d, b_i}^{(i)}\}$$

are a N^{d+1} -plet of real values satisfying the two congruence conditions

$$\sum_{b_1} f_{a_1, \dots, a_d, b_1}^{(1)} = \mu(a_1, \dots, a_d), \quad (17)$$

$$\sum_{a_N} f_{b_1, \dots, b_{d-1}, a_d, b_d}^{(d)} = \nu(b_1, \dots, b_d) \quad (18)$$

and the following $d - 1$ connection conditions

$$\sum_{a_i} f_{b_1, \dots, b_{i-1}, a_i, \dots, a_d, b_i}^{(i)} = \sum_{b_{i+1}} f_{b_1, \dots, b_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_d, b_{i+1}}^{(i+1)} \quad (19)$$

for $i = 1, \dots, d - 1$. We will call the d -plet of (F_1, \dots, F_d) a flow chart between μ and ν .

The set of all possible flow charts between two measures μ and ν will be indicate with $\mathcal{F}(\mu, \nu)$. We will then define

$$\mathcal{R}(\mu, \nu) = \min_{\mathcal{F}(\mu, \nu)} R(F_1, \dots, F_d). \quad (20)$$

Theorem 3. Let μ and ν be two probability measures over the grid $G = \{1, \dots, N\}^d$, $c : G \times G \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ a separable ground distance, i.e. of the form (??). Then, for each π transport plan between μ and ν there exists a flow chart (F_1, \dots, F_d) such that

$$R(F_1, \dots, F_d) = \sum_{G \times G} c(a, b) \pi(a, b). \quad (21)$$

In particular

$$\mathcal{R}(\mu, \nu) = \mathcal{W}_c(\mu, \nu). \quad (22)$$

Proof. Let us consider π a transport plan, then we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{G \times G} c(a, b) \pi(a, b) &= \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_d, b_1, \dots, b_d} \sum_{i=1}^d \Delta_i(a_i, b_i) \pi(a_1, \dots, a_d, b_1, \dots, b_d) = \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_d, b_1, \dots, b_d} \Delta_i(a_i, b_i) \pi(a_1, \dots, a_d, b_1, \dots, b_d) = \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^d \left[\sum_{b_1, \dots, b_{i-1}, a_i, \dots, a_d, b_i} \Delta_i(a_i, b_i) f_{b_1, \dots, b_{i-1}, a_i, \dots, a_d, b_i}^{(i)} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

where

$$f_{b_1, \dots, b_{i-1}, a_i, \dots, a_d, b_i}^{(i)} = \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, b_{i+1}, \dots, b_d} \pi(a_1, \dots, a_d, b_1, \dots, b_d). \quad (24)$$

To conclude, we have to prove that those $f_{b_1, \dots, b_{i-1}, a_i, \dots, a_d, b_i}^{(i)}$ satisfy the conditions (??), (??) and (??).

All of those follow from the definition itself, indeed

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{b_1} f_{a_1, \dots, a_d, b_1}^{(1)} &= \sum_{b_1, \dots, b_d} \pi(a_1, \dots, a_d, b_1, \dots, b_d) = \mu(a_1, \dots, a_d), \\ \sum_{a_d} f_{b_1, \dots, b_{d-1}, a_d, b_d}^{(d)} &= \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_d} \pi(a_1, \dots, a_d, b_1, \dots, b_d) = \nu(b_1, \dots, b_d) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a_i} f_{b_1, \dots, b_{i-1}, a_i, \dots, a_d, b_i}^{(i)} &= \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_i, b_{i+1}, \dots, b_d} \pi(a_1, \dots, a_d, b_1, \dots, b_d) = \\ &= \sum_{b_{i+1}} \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_i, b_{i+2}, \dots, b_N} \pi(a_1, \dots, a_N, b_1, \dots, b_N) = \sum_{b_{i+1}} f_{b_1, \dots, b_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_N, b_{i+1}}^{(i+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Let now (F_1, \dots, F_d) be a flow chart. We have that, for each $i = 1, \dots, d$, the F_i define a probability measure over $\{1, \dots, N\}^{d+1}$. For $i = 1$ we easily find that

$$\sum_{a_1, \dots, a_d, b_1} f_{a_1, \dots, a_d, b_1}^{(1)} = \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_d} \sum_{b_1} f_{a_1, \dots, a_d, b_1}^{(1)} = \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_d} \mu(a_1, \dots, a_d) = 1.$$

If we assume that F_i is a probability measure, then, using condition (??), we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{b_1, \dots, b_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_d, b_{i+1}} f_{b_1, \dots, b_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_d, b_{i+1}}^{(i+1)} &= \sum_{b_1, \dots, b_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_d} \sum_{b_{i+1}} f_{b_1, \dots, b_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_d, b_{i+1}}^{(i+1)} = \\ &= \sum_{b_1, \dots, b_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_d} \sum_{a_i} f_{b_1, \dots, b_{i-1}, a_i, \dots, a_d, b_i}^{(i)} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by induction, we get that all the F_i are actually probability measures.

Since we showed that $f_{a_1, \dots, a_d, b_1}^{(1)}$ and $f_{b_1, a_2, \dots, a_d, b_2}^{(2)}$ are both probability measures and relation (??) holds we can apply the gluing lemma and find a probability measure $\pi^{(1)}(a_1, \dots, a_d, b_1, b_2)$ such that

$$\sum_{b_2} \pi^{(1)}(a_1, \dots, a_d, b_1, b_2) = f_{a_1, \dots, a_d, b_1}^{(1)}$$

and

$$\sum_{a_1} \pi^{(1)}(a_1, \dots, a_d, b_1, b_2) = f_{b_1, a_2, \dots, a_d, b_2}^{(2)}.$$

Let us now consider $f_{b_1, b_2, a_3, \dots, a_d, b_3}^{(3)}$ and $\pi^{(1)}(a_1, \dots, a_d, b_1, b_2)$, we have

$$\sum_{a_2} \sum_{a_1} \pi^{(1)}(a_1, \dots, a_d, b_1, b_2) = \sum_{a_2} f_{b_1, a_2, \dots, a_d, b_2}^{(2)} = \sum_{b_3} f_{b_1, b_2, a_3, \dots, a_d, b_3}^{(3)},$$

so we can apply once again the gluing lemma and find a probability measure $\pi^{(2)}(a_1, \dots, a_d, b_1, b_2, b_3)$ such that

$$\sum_{b_3} \pi^{(2)}(a_1, \dots, a_d, b_1, b_2, b_3) = \pi^{(1)}(a_1, \dots, a_d, b_1, b_2)$$

and

$$\sum_{a_1, a_2} \pi^{(2)}(a_1, \dots, a_d, b_1, b_2, b_3) = f_{b_1, b_2, a_3, \dots, a_d, b_3}^{(3)}.$$

We can iterate this process for $d - 1$ times and find a probability measure $\pi_{a_1, \dots, a_d, b_1, \dots, b_d}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{b_1, \dots, b_d} \pi(a_1, \dots, a_d, b_1, \dots, b_d) &= \sum_{b_1, \dots, b_{d-1}} \sum_{b_d} \pi(a_1, \dots, a_d, b_1, \dots, b_d) = \sum_{b_1, \dots, b_{N-1}} \pi^{(N-2)}(a_1, \dots, a_N, b_1, \dots, b_{N-1}) = \\ &\dots = \sum_{b_1} \sum_{b_2} \pi^{(1)}(a_1, \dots, a_N, b_1, b_2) = \sum_{b_1} f^{(1)}(a_1, \dots, a_N, b_1) = \mu(a_1, \dots, a_N). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\sum_{a_1, \dots, a_d} \pi(a_1, \dots, a_d, b_1, \dots, b_d) = \nu(b_1, \dots, b_d),$$

thus proving that π transports μ into ν .

For such a π , we now prove that

$$R(F_1, \dots, F_d) = \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_d, b_1, \dots, b_d} \sum_{i=1}^d \Delta_i(a_i, b_i) \pi(a_1, \dots, a_d, b_1, \dots, b_d).$$

We start with

$$\sum_{a_1, \dots, a_d, b_1, \dots, b_d} \sum_{i=1}^d \Delta_i(a_i, b_i) \pi(a_1, \dots, a_d, b_1, \dots, b_d) = \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_d, b_1, \dots, b_d} \Delta_i(a_i, b_i) \pi(a_1, \dots, a_d, b_1, \dots, b_d).$$

Let us consider the term

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_d, b_1, \dots, b_d} \Delta_i(a_i, b_i) \pi(a_1, \dots, a_d, b_1, \dots, b_d) &= \\ &\sum_{b_1, \dots, b_{i-1}, a_i, \dots, a_d, b_i} \Delta_i(a_i, b_i) \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, b_i, \dots, b_d} \pi(a_1, \dots, a_d, b_1, \dots, b_d) \end{aligned}$$

but, thanks to the Gluing Lemma, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, b_i, \dots, b_d} \pi(a_1, \dots, a_d, b_1, \dots, b_d) &= \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, b_i, \dots, b_{d-1}} \pi^{(d-2)}(a_1, \dots, a_d, b_1, \dots, b_{d-1}) = \dots = \\ &\sum_{a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}} \pi^{(i+1)}(a_1, \dots, a_N, b_1, \dots, b_i) = f_{b_1, \dots, b_{i-1}, a_i, \dots, a_N, b_i}^{(i)}. \end{aligned}$$

So the proof is complete. \square