
Supplementary material for GumBolt: Extending Gumbel trick to Boltzmann priors

Amir H. Khoshaman
D-Wave Systems Inc.
khoshaman@gmail.com

Mohammad H. Amin
D-Wave Systems Inc.
Simon Fraser University
mhsamin@dwavesys.com

A Theorems regarding GumBolt

Theorem 1. For any polynomial function $E_\theta(z)$ of n_z binary variables $z \in \{0, 1\}^{n_z}$, the extrema of the relaxed function $E_\theta(\zeta)$ with $\zeta \in [0, 1]^{n_z}$ reside on the vertices of the hypercube, i.e., $\zeta_{\text{extr}} \in \{0, 1\}^{n_z}$.

Proof. For a binary variable z_i and an integer n , we have

$$z_i^n \equiv \underbrace{z_i \dots z_i}_{n \text{ times}} = z_i.$$

Therefore, the polynomial function $E_\theta(z)$ can only have linear dependence on z_i and can be written as

$$E_\theta(z) = \sum_i z_i g_{i\theta}(z_{-i}), \quad (1)$$

where $g_{i\theta}(z_{-i})$ is a polynomial function of all $z_{j \neq i}$ with $j < i$, to exclude double-counting. The energy function of a BM is a special case of this equation. The relaxed function will have derivatives

$$\frac{\partial E_\theta(\zeta)}{\partial \zeta_i} = g_\theta(\zeta_{-i}). \quad (2)$$

Due to the linearity of the equation, for nonzero $g_\theta(\zeta_{-i})$ there is always ascent or descent direction for ζ_i , therefore, the extrema will be on the vertices of the hypercube. \square

Theorem 2. For any polynomial function $E_\theta(z)$ of binary variables $z \in \{0, 1\}^{n_z}$, the proxy probability $\check{p}_\theta(\zeta) \equiv e^{-E_\theta(\zeta)} / Z_\theta$, with $\zeta \in [0, 1]^{n_z}$, is a lower bound to the true probability $p_\theta(\zeta) \equiv e^{-E_\theta(\zeta)} / \tilde{Z}_\theta$, i.e., $\check{p}_\theta(\zeta) \leq p_\theta(\zeta)$, where $Z_\theta \equiv \sum_{\{z\}} e^{-E_\theta(z)}$ and $\tilde{Z}_\theta \equiv \int_{\{\zeta\}} d\zeta e^{-E_\theta(\zeta)}$.

Proof. Let E_{\min} be the minimum of $E_\theta(z)$. According to the previous theorem, E_{\min} is also the minimum of $E_\theta(\zeta)$. Therefore

$$\tilde{Z}_\theta = \int_{\{\zeta\}} d\zeta e^{-E_\theta(\zeta)} \leq \int_{\{\zeta\}} d\zeta e^{-E_{\min}} = e^{-E_{\min}} \leq \sum_{\{z\}} e^{-E_\theta(z)} = Z_\theta. \quad (3)$$

Therefore

$$\check{p}_\theta(\zeta) = \frac{e^{-E_\theta(\zeta)}}{Z_\theta} \leq \frac{e^{-E_\theta(\zeta)}}{\tilde{Z}_\theta} = p_\theta(\zeta). \quad (4)$$

\square

B The equivalence of dVAE and REINFORCE in dealing with the cross-entropy term

In this Appendix, we show that the previous work with a BM prior, dVAE (?), is equivalent to REINFORCE when calculating the derivatives of the cross entropy term in the loss function. Note that a discrete variable reparametrized as $z = \mathcal{H}(\rho - (1 - \bar{q}))$ is non-differentiable, due to the discontinuity caused by the Heaviside function. Consider calculating $\nabla_{\phi} \mathbb{E}_{q_{\phi}(z|x)} [E_{\theta}(z)]$, which appears in the gradients of the objective function. The gradients of the coupling terms can be written as:

$$\nabla_{\phi} \mathbb{E}_{q_{\phi}(z|x)} \left[\sum_{i,j}^{n_z} z_i W_{ij} z_j \right] = \mathbb{E}_{\rho \sim \mathcal{U}} \left[\nabla_{\phi} \sum_{i,j}^{n_z} z_i(\rho) W_{ij} z_j(\rho) \right]. \quad (5)$$

Using a spike(at 0)-and-exponential relaxation, $r(\zeta_i|z_i)$, i.e.,

$$r(\zeta_i|z_i) = \begin{cases} \delta(\zeta_i), & \text{if } z_i = 0 \\ \frac{\exp(-\zeta_i/\tau)}{Z}, & \text{if } z_i = 1, \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

where Z is the normalization constant. It is proved in (?) that the derivatives can be calculated as follows:

$$\mathbb{E}_{\rho \sim \mathcal{U}} \left[\nabla_{\phi} \sum_{i,j}^{n_z} z_i(\rho) W_{ij} z_j(\rho) \right] = \mathbb{E}_{\rho \sim \mathcal{U}} \left[\sum_{i,j}^{n_z} \frac{1 - z_i(\rho)}{1 - \bar{q}_i(\rho)} W_{ij} z_j(\rho) \nabla_{\phi} \bar{q}_i(\rho) \right]. \quad (7)$$

In order to show that this is equivalent to REINFORCE, first consider the spike (at one)-and-exponential distribution:

$$r(\zeta_i|z_i) = \begin{cases} \delta(\zeta_i), & \text{if } z_i = 1 \\ \frac{\exp(-\zeta_i/\tau)}{Z}, & \text{if } z_i = 0, \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

which is equivalent to spike(at 0)-and-exponential relaxation distribution (since there is nothing special about $z = 0$). Using this distribution and the same line of reasoning used in (?), the derivatives of the coupling term become:

$$\mathbb{E}_{\rho \sim \mathcal{U}} \left[\nabla_{\phi} \sum_{i,j}^{n_z} z_i(\rho) W_{ij} z_j(\rho) \right] = \mathbb{E}_{\rho \sim \mathcal{U}} \left[\sum_{i,j}^{n_z} \frac{z_i(\rho)}{\bar{q}_i(\rho)} W_{ij} z_j(\rho) \nabla_{\phi} \bar{q}_i(\rho) \right]. \quad (9)$$

Now consider the REINFORCE trick applied to the coupling term:

$$\nabla_{\phi} \mathbb{E}_{q_{\phi}(z|x)} \left[\sum_{i,j}^{n_z} z_i W_{ij} z_j \right] = \mathbb{E}_{q_{\phi}(z|x)} \left[\sum_{i,j}^{n_z} z_i W_{ij} z_j \nabla_{\phi} \log q_{\phi}(z_i, z_j|x) \right]. \quad (10)$$

Assuming the general autoregressive encoder, where every z_i depends on all the preceding variables, $z_{<i}$, i.e., we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \log q_{\phi}(z_i, z_j|x) &= \sum_{\{z_k: k \notin \{i,j\}\}} z_i \log(\bar{q}(z_{<i})) + (1 - z_i) \log(1 - \bar{q}(z_{<i})) + \\ &\quad z_j \log(\bar{q}(z_{<j})) + (1 - z_j) \log(1 - \bar{q}(z_{<j})). \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Replacing this in Eq. 10, and noting that for any binary variable z_i we have $z_i(1 - z_i) = 0$, results in:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\phi} \mathbb{E}_{q_{\phi}(z|x)} \left[\sum_{i,j}^{n_z} z_i W_{ij} z_j \right] &= \mathbb{E}_{q_{\phi}(z|x)} \left[\sum_{i,j}^{n_z} z_i(z_{<i}) W_{ij} z_j(z_{<j}) \nabla_{\phi} \log \bar{q}(z_{<i}) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\rho \sim \mathcal{U}} \left[\sum_{i,j}^{n_z} z_i(\rho) W_{ij} z_j(\rho) \nabla_{\phi} \log \bar{q}_i(\rho) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\rho \sim \mathcal{U}} \left[\sum_{i,j}^{n_z} \frac{z_i(\rho)}{\bar{q}_i(\rho)} W_{ij} z_j(\rho) \nabla_{\phi} \bar{q}_i(\rho) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where the last equality is due to the law of unconscious statistician (?), *i.e.*, for a given function $f(z)$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}_{\rho \sim \mathcal{U}} [f(z(\rho))] = \mathbb{E}_{q_\phi(z|x)} [f(z)] .$$

Therefore, dVAE is using REINFORCE when dealing with the cross-entropy terms.