

A BIFURCATION THEORY APPROACH TO THE PROGRAMMING OF PERIODIC ATTRACTORS IN NETWORK MODELS OF OLFACTORY CORTEX

Bill Baird
Department of Biophysics
U.C. Berkeley

ABSTRACT

A new learning algorithm for the storage of static and periodic attractors in biologically inspired recurrent analog neural networks is introduced. For a network of n nodes, n static or $n/2$ periodic attractors may be stored. The algorithm allows programming of the network vector field independent of the patterns to be stored. Stability of patterns, basin geometry, and rates of convergence may be controlled. For orthonormal patterns, the learning operation reduces to a kind of periodic outer product rule that allows local, additive, commutative, incremental learning. Standing or traveling wave cycles may be stored to mimic the kind of oscillating spatial patterns that appear in the neural activity of the olfactory bulb and prepyriform cortex during inspiration and suffice, in the bulb, to predict the pattern recognition behavior of rabbits in classical conditioning experiments. These attractors arise, during simulated inspiration, through a multiple Hopf bifurcation, which can act as a critical "decision point" for their selection by a very small input pattern.

INTRODUCTION

This approach allows the construction of biological models and the exploration of engineering or cognitive networks that employ the type of dynamics found in the brain. Patterns of 40 to 80 hz oscillation have been observed in the large scale activity of the olfactory bulb and cortex (Freeman and Baird 86) and even visual neocortex (Freeman 87, Grey and Singer 88), and found to predict the olfactory and visual pattern recognition responses of a trained animal. Here we use analytic methods of bifurcation theory to design algorithms for determining synaptic weights in recurrent network architectures, like those

found in olfactory cortex, for associative memory storage of these kinds of dynamic patterns.

The "projection algorithm" introduced here employs higher order correlations, and is the most analytically transparent of the algorithms to come from the bifurcation theory approach (Baird 88). Alternative numerical algorithms employing unused capacity or hidden units instead of higher order correlations are discussed in (Baird 89). All of these methods provide solutions to the problem of storing exact analog attractors, static or dynamic, in recurrent neural networks, and allow programming of the ambient vector field independent of the patterns to be stored. The stability of cycles or equilibria, geometry of basins of attraction, rates of convergence to attractors, and the location in parameter space of primary and secondary bifurcations can be programmed in a prototype vector field - the normal form.

To store cycles by the projection algorithm, we start with the amplitude equations of a polar coordinate normal form, with coupling coefficients chosen to give stable fixed points on the axes, and transform to Cartesian coordinates. The axes of this system of nonlinear ordinary differential equations are then linearly transformed into desired spatial or spatio-temporal patterns by projecting the system into network coordinates - the standard basis - using the desired vectors as columns of the transformation matrix. This method of network synthesis is roughly the inverse of the usual procedure in bifurcation theory for analysis of a given physical system.

Proper choice of normal form couplings will ensure that the axis attractors are the only attractors in the system - there are no "spurious attractors". If symmetric normal form coefficients are chosen, then the normal form becomes a gradient vector field. It is exactly the gradient of an explicit potential function which is therefore a strict Liapunov function for the system. Identical normal form coefficients make the normal form vector field equivariant under permutation of the axes, which forces identical scale and rotation invariant basins of attraction bounded by hyperplanes. Very complex periodic attractors may be established by a kind of Fourier synthesis as linear combinations of the simple cycles chosen for a subset of the axes, when those are programmed to be unstable, and a single "mixed mode" in the interior of that subspace is made stable. Proofs and details on vectorfield programming appear in (Baird 89).

In the general case, the network resulting from the projection

algorithm has fourth order correlations, but the use of restrictions on the detail of vector field programming and the types of patterns to be stored result in network architectures requiring only second order correlations. For biological modeling, where possibly the patterns to be stored are sparse and nearly orthogonal, the learning rule for periodic patterns becomes a "periodic" outer product rule which is local, additive, commutative, and incremental. It reduces to the usual Hebb-like rule for static attractors.

CYCLES

The observed physiological activity may be idealized mathematically as a "cycle", $r x_j e^{i(\theta_j + \omega t)}$, $j=1,2,\dots,n$. Such a cycle is a "periodic attractor" if it is stable. The global amplitude r is just a scaling factor for the pattern \underline{x} , and the global phase ω in $e^{i\omega t}$ is a periodic scaling that scales \underline{x} by a factor between ± 1 at frequency ω as t varies.

The same vector \underline{x}^s or "pattern" of relative amplitudes can appear in space as a standing wave, like that seen in the bulb, if the relative phase θ_i^s of each compartment (component) is the same, $\theta_{i+1}^s = \theta_i^s$, or as a traveling wave, like that seen in the prepyriform cortex, if the relative phase components of $\underline{\theta}^s$ form a gradient in space, $\theta_{i+1}^s = 1/\alpha \theta_i^s$. The traveling wave will "sweep out" the amplitude pattern \underline{x}^s in time, but the root-mean-square amplitude measured in an experiment will be the same \underline{x}^s , regardless of the phase pattern. For an arbitrary phase vector, these "simple" single frequency cycles can make very complicated looking spatio-temporal patterns. From the mathematical point of view, the relative phase pattern $\underline{\theta}$ is a degree of freedom in the kind patterns that can be stored. Patterns of uniform amplitude \underline{x} which differed only in the phase locking pattern $\underline{\theta}$ could be stored as well.

To store the kind of patterns seen in bulb, the amplitude vector \underline{x} is assumed to be parsed into equal numbers of excitatory and inhibitory components, where each class of component has identical phase, but there is a phase difference of 60 - 90 degrees between the classes. The traveling wave in the prepyriform cortex is modeled by introducing an additional phase gradient into both excitatory and inhibitory classes.

PROJECTION ALGORITHM

The central result of this paper is most compactly stated as the following:

THEOREM

Any set S , $s = 1, 2, \dots, n/2$, of cycles $r^s x_j^s e^{i(\theta_j^s + w^s t)}$ of linearly independent vectors of relative component amplitudes $x^s \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and phases $\theta^s \in \mathbb{S}^1$, with frequencies $w^s \in \mathbb{R}$ and global amplitudes $r^s \in \mathbb{R}$, may be established in the vector field of the analog fourth order network:

$$\dot{x}_i = -\tau x_i + \sum_j T_{ij} x_j + \sum_{jkl} T_{ijkl} x_j x_k x_l + b_i \delta(t)$$

by some variant of the projection operation :

$$T_{ij} = \sum_{mn} P_{im} J_{mn} P^{-1}_{nj}, \quad T_{ijkl} = \sum_{mn} P_{im} A_{mn} P^{-1}_{mj} P^{-1}_{nk} P^{-1}_{nl},$$

where the $n \times n$ matrix P contains the real and imaginary components $[\underline{x}^s \cos \theta^s, \underline{x}^s \sin \theta^s]$ of the complex eigenvectors $\underline{x}^s e^{i\theta^s}$ as columns, J is an $n \times n$ matrix of complex conjugate eigenvalues in diagonal blocks, A_{mn} is an $n \times n$ matrix of 2×2 blocks of repeated coefficients of the normal form equations, and the input $b_i \delta(t)$ is a delta function in time that establishes an initial condition. The vector field of the dynamics of the global amplitudes r_s and phases θ_s is then given exactly by the normal form equations :

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{r}_s &= u_s r_s - r_s \sum_j a_{sj} r_j^2 \\ \dot{\theta}_s &= w_s + \sum_j b_{sj} r_j^2 \end{aligned}$$

In particular, for $a_{sk} > 0$, and $a_{ss}/a_{ks} < 1$, for all s and k , the cycles $s = 1, 2, \dots, n/2$ are stable, and have amplitudes $r_s = (u_s/a_{ss})^{1/2}$, where $u_s = 1 - \tau$.

Note that there is a multiple Hopf bifurcation of codimension $n/2$ at $\tau = 1$. Since there are no approximations here, however, the theorem is not restricted to the neighborhood of this bifurcation, and can be discussed without further reference to bifurcation theory. The normal form equations for dr^s/dt and $d\theta^s/dt$ determine how r^s and θ^s for pattern s evolve in time in interaction with all the other patterns of the set S . This could be thought of as the process of phase locking of the pattern that finally emerges. The unusual power of this algorithm lies in the ability to precisely specify these non-linear interactions. In general, determination of the modes of the linearized system alone (Li and Hopfield 89) is insufficient to say what the attractors of the nonlinear system will be.

PROOF

The proof of the theorem is instructive since it is a constructive proof, and we can use it to explain the learning algorithm. We proceed by showing first that there are always fixed points on the axes of these amplitude equations, whose stability is given by the coefficients of the nonlinear terms. Then the network above is constructed from these equations by two coordinate transformations. The first is from polar to Cartesian coordinates, and the second is a linear transformation from these canonical "mode" coordinates into the standard basis e_1, e_2, \dots, e_N , or "network coordinates". This second transformation constitutes the "learning algorithm", because it transforms the simple fixed points of the amplitude equations into the specific spatio-temporal memory patterns desired for the network.

Amplitude Fixed Points

Because the amplitude equations are independent of the rotation θ , the fixed points of the amplitude equations characterize the asymptotic states of the underlying oscillatory modes. The stability of these cycles is therefore given by the stability of the fixed points of the amplitude equations. On each axis r_s , the other components r_j are zero, by definition,

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{r}_j &= r_j (u_j - \sum_k a_{jk} r_k^2) = 0, \quad \text{for } r_j = 0, \quad \text{which leaves} \\ \dot{r}_s &= r_s (u_s - a_{ss} r_s^2), \quad \text{and } \dot{r}_s = 0, \quad \text{when } r_s^2 = u_s/a_{ss}. \end{aligned}$$

There is an equilibrium on each axis s , at $r_s = (u_s/a_{ss})^{1/2}$, as claimed. Now the Jacobian of the amplitude equations at some fixed point \hat{r} has elements

$$J_{ij} = -2 a_{ij} \hat{r}_i \hat{r}_j, \quad J_{ii} = u_i - 3 a_{ii} \hat{r}_i^2 - \sum_{j \neq i}^n a_{ij} \hat{r}_j^2.$$

For a fixed point \hat{r}_s on axis s , $J_{ij} = 0$, since \hat{r}_i or $\hat{r}_j = 0$, making J a diagonal matrix whose entries are therefore its eigenvalues. Now $J_{ii} = u_i - a_{is} \hat{r}_s^2$, for $i \neq s$, and $J_{ss} = u_s - 3 a_{ss} \hat{r}_s^2$. Since $\hat{r}_s^2 = u_s/a_{ss}$, $J_{ss} = -2 u_s$, and $J_{ii} = u_i - a_{is} (u_s/a_{ss})$. This gives $a_{is}/a_{ss} > u_i/u_s$ as the condition for negative eigenvalues that assures the stability of \hat{r}_s . Choice of $a_{ji}/a_{ii} > u_j/u_i$, for all i, j , therefore guarantees stability of all axis fixed points.

Coordinate Transformations

We now construct the neural network from these well behaved equations by the following transformations,

First; polar to Cartesian, (r_s, θ_s) to (v_{2s-1}, v_{2s}) : Using $v_{2s-1} = r_s \cos \theta_s$, $v_{2s} = r_s \sin \theta_s$, and differentiating these

gives:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{v}_{2s-1} &= \dot{r}_s \cos \theta_s - r_s \sin \theta_s \dot{\theta}_s, \\ \dot{v}_{2s} &= \dot{r}_s \sin \theta_s + r_s \cos \theta_s \dot{\theta}_s,\end{aligned}$$

by the chain rule. Now substituting $\cos \theta_s = v_{2s-1}/r_s$, and $r_s \sin \theta_s = v_{2s}$,

gives:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{v}_{2s-1} &= (v_{2s-1}/r_s) \dot{r}_s - v_{2s} \dot{\theta}_s \\ \dot{v}_{2s} &= v_{2s} \dot{r}_s + (v_{2s-1}/r_s) \dot{\theta}_s\end{aligned}$$

Entering the expressions of the normal form for \dot{r}_s and $\dot{\theta}_s$, gives:

$$\dot{v}_{2s-1} = (v_{2s-1}/r_s) (u_s r_s + r_s \sum_j a_{sj} r_{j2}) - v_{2s} (w_s + \sum_j b_{sj} r_{j2}),$$

and since $r_s^2 = v_{2s-1}^2 + v_{2s}^2$,

$$\dot{v}_{2s-1} = u_s v_{2s-1} - w_s v_{2s} + \sum_j^{n/2} [v_{2s-1} a_{sj} - v_{2s} b_{sj}] (v_{2j-1}^2 + v_{2j}^2)$$

Similarly,

$$\dot{v}_{2s} = u_s v_{2s} + w_s v_{2s-1} + \sum_j^{n/2} [v_{2s} a_{sj} + v_{2s-1} b_{sj}] (v_{2j-1}^2 + v_{2j}^2).$$

Setting the $b_{sj} = 0$ for simplicity, choosing $u_s = -\tau + 1$ to get a standard network form, and reindexing $i, j=1, 2, \dots, n$, we get the Cartesian equivalent of the polar normal form equations.

$$\dot{v}_i = -\tau v_i + \sum_j^n J_{ij} v_j + v_i \sum_j^n A_{ij} v_j^2$$

Here J is a matrix containing 2×2 blocks along the diagonal of the local couplings of the linear terms of each pair of the previous equations v_{2s-1} , v_{2s} , with $-\tau$ separated out of the diagonal terms. The matrix A has 2×2 blocks of identical coefficients a_{sj} of the nonlinear terms from each pair.

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -w_1 & & & \\ w_1 & 1 & & & \\ & & 1 & -w_2 & \\ & & w_2 & 1 & \\ & & & & \ddots \end{bmatrix} \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{12} & \\ a_{11} & a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{12} & \\ & & a_{21} & a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{22} \\ a_{21} & a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{22} & \\ & & & & \ddots \end{bmatrix}$$

Learning Transformation - Linear Term

Second; J is the canonical form of a real matrix with complex conjugate eigenvalues, where the conjugate pairs appear in blocks along the diagonal as shown. The Cartesian normal form equations describe the interaction of these linearly uncoupled complex modes due to the coupling of the nonlinear terms. We can interpret the normal form equations as network equations in eigenvector (or "memory") coordinates, given by some diagonalizing transformation P , containing those eigenvectors as its columns, so that $J = P^{-1} T P$. Then it is clear that T may instead be determined by the reverse projection $T = P J P^{-1}$ back into network coordinates, if we start with desired eigenvectors and eigenvalues. We are free to choose as columns in P , the real and imaginary vectors $[\underline{x}^s \cos \theta^s, \underline{x}^s \sin \theta^s]$ of the cycles $\underline{x}^s e^{i\theta^s}$ of any linearly independent set S of patterns to be learned. If we write the matrix expression for the projection in component form, we recover the expression given in the theorem for T_{ij} ,

$$T_{ij} = E_{mn} P_{im} J_{mn} P^{-1}_{nj} .$$

Nonlinear Term Projection

The nonlinear terms are transformed as well, but the expression cannot be easily written in matrix form. Using the component form of the transformation,

$$x_i = E_j P_{ij} v_j , \quad \dot{x}_i = E_j P_{ij} \dot{v}_j , \quad v_j = E_k P^{-1}_{jk} x_k , \quad \text{and}$$

substituting into the Cartesian normal form, gives:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_i = & (-\tau+1) E_j P_{ij} (E_k P^{-1}_{jk} x_k) + E_j P_{ij} E_k J_{jk} (E_l P^{-1}_{kl} x_l) \\ & + E_j P_{ij} (E_k P^{-1}_{jk} x_k) E_l A_{jl} (E_m P^{-1}_{lm} x_m) (E_n P^{-1}_{ln} x_n) \end{aligned}$$

Rearranging the orders of summation gives,

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_i = & (-\tau+1) E_k (E_j P_{ij} P^{-1}_{jk}) x_k + E_l (E_k E_j P_{ij} J_{jk} P^{-1}_{kl}) x_l \\ & + E_n E_m E_k (E_l E_j P_{ij} P^{-1}_{jk} A_{jl} P^{-1}_{lm} P^{-1}_{ln}) x_k x_m x_n \end{aligned}$$

Finally, performing the bracketed summations and relabeling indices gives us the network of the theorem,

$$\dot{x}_i = -\tau x_i + E_j T_{ij} x_j + E_{jkl} T_{ijkl} x_j x_k x_l$$

with the expression for the tensor of the nonlinear term,

$$T_{ijkl} = \sum_{mn} P_{im} A_{mn} P^{-1}_{mj} P^{-1}_{nk} P^{-1}_{nl} \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

LEARNING RULE EXTENSIONS

This is the core of the mathematical story, and it may be extended in many ways. When the columns of P are orthonormal, then $P^{-1} = P^T$, and the formula above for the linear network coupling becomes $T = PJP^T$. Then, for complex eigenvectors,

$$T_{ij} = \sum_s x_i^s x_j^s [\cos(\theta_i^s - \theta_j^s) + w_s \sin(\theta_i^s - \theta_j^s)].$$

This is now a local, additive, incremental learning rule for synapse ij , and the system can be truly self-organizing because the net can modify itself based on its own activity. Between units of equal phase, or when $\theta_i^s = \theta_j^s = 0$ for a static pattern, this reduces to the usual Hebb rule.

In a similar fashion, the learning rule for the higher order nonlinear terms becomes a multiple periodic outer product rule when the matrix A is chosen to have a simple form. Given our present ignorance of the full biophysics of intracellular processing, it is not entirely impossible that some dimensionality of the higher order weights in the mathematical network could be implemented locally within the cells of a biological network, using the information available on the primary lines given by the linear connections discussed above. When the A matrix is chosen to have uniform entries $A_{ij} = c$ for all its off-diagonal 2×2 blocks, and uniform entries $A_{ij} = c - d$ for the diagonal blocks, then,

$$T_{ijkl} = c \delta_{ij} \delta_{kl} - d \sum_s^{n/2} x_i^s x_j^s x_k^s x_l^s [\cos\theta_i^s \cos\theta_j^s \cos\theta_k^s \cos\theta_l^s + \sin\theta_i^s \sin\theta_j^s \cos\theta_k^s \cos\theta_l^s + \cos\theta_i^s \cos\theta_j^s \sin\theta_k^s \sin\theta_l^s + \sin\theta_i^s \sin\theta_j^s \sin\theta_k^s \sin\theta_l^s].$$

This reduces to the multiple outer product

$$T_{ijkl} = c \delta_{ij} \delta_{kl} - d \sum_s^{n/2} x_i^s x_j^s x_k^s x_l^s, \text{ for static patterns.}$$

The network architecture generated by this learning rule is

$$\dot{x}_i = -\tau x_i + \sum_j T_{ij} x_j + c x_i \sum_j x_j^2 - d x_i \sum_{jkl} T_{ijkl} x_j x_k x_l.$$

This reduces to an architecture without higher order correlations in the case that we choose a completely uniform A matrix ($A_{ij} = c$, for all i, j). Then

$$\dot{x}_i = -\tau x_i + \sum_j T_{ij} x_j + c x_i \sum_j x_j^2$$

This network has fixed points on the axes of the normal form as always, but the stability condition is not satisfied since the diagonal normal form coefficients are equal, not less, than the remaining A matrix entries. In (Baird 89) we describe how clamped input (inspiration) can break this symmetry and make the nearest stored pattern be the only attractor.

All of the above results hold as well for networks with sigmoids, provided their coupling is such that they have a Taylor's expansion which is equal to the above networks up to third order. The results then hold only in the neighborhood of the origin for which the truncated expansion is accurate. The expected performance of such systems has been verified in simulations.

Acknowledgements

Supported by AFOSR-87-0317. I am very grateful for the support of Walter Freeman and invaluable assistance of Morris Hirsch.

References

- B. Baird. Bifurcation Theory Methods For Programming Static or Periodic Attractors and Their Bifurcations in Dynamic Neural Networks. Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Neural Networks, San Diego, Ca., pI-9, July(1988).
- B. Baird. Bifurcation Theory Approach to Vectorfield Programming for Periodic Attractors. Proc. INNS/IEEE Int. Conf. on Neural Networks. Washington D.C., June(1989).
- W. J. Freeman & B. Baird. Relation of Olfactory EEG to Behavior: Spatial Analysis. Behavioral Neuroscience (1986).
- W. J. Freeman & B. W. van Dijk. Spatial Patterns of Visual Cortical EEG During Conditioned Reflex in a Rhesus Monkey. Brain Research, 422, p267(1987).
- C. M. Grey and W. Singer. Stimulus Specific Neuronal Oscillations in Orientation Columns of Cat Visual Cortex. PNAS. In Press(1988).
- Z. Li & J.J. Hopfield. Modeling The Olfactory Bulb. Biological Cybernetics. Submitted(1989).